

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/541,010	06/28/2005	Gunter Saliger	01873.200016.	9017	
5514 FITZPATRIC	7590 08/23/201 K CELLA HARPER &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1290 Avenue of the Americas			SINGH, SUNIL K		
NEW YORK,	NY 10104-3800	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3732			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/23/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/541,010	SALIGER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sunil K. Singh	3732		

	Sunil K. Singh	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this 8 no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) 0 MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belc	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	tter form for appeal by materially re-		ne issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ottod olamio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co		
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 		•	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Cris L. Rodriguez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3732	/Sunil K Singh/ Examiner, Art Unit 3732		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that Perot does not disclose separating the digital data into a 1st and 2nd elements and then fabricating the two elements based on the digital data. However, the examiner disagrees. Perot teaches that the data are of two separate elements (i.e. a cap and a crown) and the separate data are then manipulated in order to form desired fit (column 4, lines 59-65). The motifivation to combine is given the previous office action. The applicant argues that Perot does not disclose separating the two elements from a dental superstructure since Perot discloses a digital data representing a fitting cap and a crown. However, it is the examiner's position that these elements meets the limitation of the broad term "superstructure." If the applicant is triving to claim a specific piece of the implant, then such pieces must be positively clad in the claims.